

RAJKAMAL VASU

Department of Finance
C. T. Bauer College of Business
University of Houston
Email: rkvasu@bauer.uh.edu
Phone: (713) 743-4779
Website: <https://www.bauer.uh.edu/rvasu>

Mailing Address:
4750 Calhoun Rd.
Room 220G
Houston, TX 77204

RESEARCH FIELDS

Corporate Finance, Mergers & Acquisitions, Mechanism Design, Contract Theory,
Economic History, Economics of Innovation

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

Assistant Professor, Finance Department, C. T. Bauer College of Business, University of Houston
August 2018-Present

DOCTORAL STUDIES

Ph.D., Finance, Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois
Dissertation: Essays on Mergers and Acquisitions
Committee Chairperson: Professor Michael Fishman
Date of Completion: June 2018

PREDOCTORAL STUDIES

M.S.: Finance, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, 2015
MBA: Finance, Indian Institute of Management, Lucknow, India, 2004
B.Tech.: Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India, 2001

FELLOWSHIPS AND AWARDS

Northwestern University Travel Grant, 2017
Graduate Fellowship, Northwestern University, 2013 - 2018
Academic Associate of the Year Award, Indian School of Business, 2011
Among 20 shortlisted for the Aditya Birla Scholarship, IIM Lucknow, 2003
National Talent Search Scholarship, Government of India, 1994
District and State Merit Scholarships, 1994

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENTS

Among the top 10% of the class after first year, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, 1998
Among the top 1% of all candidates nationwide in the Joint Entrance Exam for admission to the
Indian Institutes of Technology, 1997
Among the top 1% of all candidates nationwide in Physics in the Indian Association of Physics
Teachers Exam, 1996

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

C. T. Bauer College of Business, University of Houston
FINA 4320- Investment Management, Undergraduate, Fall 2018

Teaching Assistant at Kellogg School of Management, 2014 – 2017, for the following courses:
Finance I, MBA Core, Fall 2014 – 2016, Winter 2015, 2017
Finance II: Corporate Finance, MBA Elective, Spring 2015
Accelerated Corporate Finance, MBA Elective, Fall 2015, 2017
Managerial Finance II, Executive MBA Core, Fall 2015, 2016
Applied Real Estate Finance and Investments, MBA Elective, Spring 2016, 2017

Teaching Assistant at Indian School of Business, 2010- 2011, for the following courses:
Corporate Control, Mergers and Acquisitions
Investment Analysis
Fixed Income Securities
Security Markets and Trading

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE

Research Assistant to the following Professors:
Konstantin Milbradt, Northwestern University, 2017
Michael Fishman, Northwestern University, 2016
Krishnamurthy Subramanian, Indian School of Business, 2011-2013

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Indian School of Business, 2010 - 2013
Academic Associate, Research Associate
Worked as a research assistant and teaching assistant in Finance

Wipro Technologies, 2004 - 2008
Business Analyst
Worked on consulting in the Insurance sector in the UK, Ireland and India

WORKING PAPERS

“Auctions or Negotiations? A Theory of How Firms are Sold”

Abstract: An owner of a firm is selling the firm. Potential buyers do not know how many other potential buyers there are. Will the seller choose to sell the firm through bilateral negotiations or through an auction? In equilibrium, if the seller observes the number of buyers before choosing the mechanism, the choice can signal information and lower expected revenue. Broadly speaking, the seller chooses an auction if the expected number of buyers is high and negotiations otherwise. Empirical implications of the theory are (i) The revenue is higher if buyer valuations are less volatile; (ii) More risk-averse sellers choose auctions more often; (iii) If the seller risk-aversion is above (below) a threshold value, the average transaction price in auctions is greater (less) than that in negotiations. Committing to a mechanism before seeing the number of buyers increases the seller's revenue.

“Optimal M&A Advisory Contracts”

Abstract: Consider a scenario where a firm is in negotiations with a potential buyer. Both the buyer and the seller are uninformed about the value of synergies, but they can hire an M&A Advisor. Suppose, though, that the seller and buyer face a moral hazard problem. If the advisor's effort is not observable, he has the option of not exerting effort and reporting any of the possible values. Should the seller and buyer hire an advisor and what is the optimal contract that they

should sign with him? We find that the probabilities with which the buyer and seller hire their advisors and the optimal contracts are determined simultaneously in equilibrium. Both contracts depend on two variables- whether the transaction succeeds or not and, if it does, the value of the transaction. The seller's optimal contract with his advisor is unique, but the buyer's optimal contract can take a variety of forms. The compensation of the seller's advisor is monotonically increasing in the transaction value. Neither advisor is paid if the transaction fails. In equilibrium, both advisors exert effort, report truthfully and do not extract any information rents. However, the first best is not obtained because the transaction can fail even though it is socially optimal.

“Product Market Relatedness, Antitrust and Merger Decisions”, with Kirti Sinha

Abstract: We study how merger decisions between public firms in the US are affected by the similarity between the product markets of the acquirer and the potential target. The relation between the likelihood of the merger and the product market similarity is non-monotonic, in the shape of an inverted U. We offer two reasons for this finding. First, when the product markets are very similar, there is a high chance that antitrust investigations will block the merger. We find that this effect is stronger in markets that are more concentrated and in years where antitrust regulatory intensity is high. Second, the synergies from the merger are less if the product markets are very related. Hence, firms are more likely to acquire targets with which they have a medium rather than a high level of product market similarity.

“The Transition to Locked-In Capital in the First Corporations: Venture Capital Financing in Early Modern Europe”

Abstract: Capital lock-in is the legal feature of a modern corporation which prevents the equity investors from forcing the firm to return their capital. Why did it emerge for the first time in the Dutch East India Company before it did in England? To answer this question, I develop a model in which equity investors choose the duration for which their capital is pledged to the firm. The duration depends on three factors, namely the incentive of the managers to divert capital from the firm, the uncertainty about the productivity of the technology used by the firm and the probability of expropriation of capital by the state. I argue that capital lock-in was first adopted in the Dutch East India Company because there was less uncertainty regarding the productivity of intercontinental trade in the Netherlands compared to England. I show that the uncertainty about innovative technologies affects the adoption of capital lock-in even today, for example in the venture capital and private equity industries.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

The Trans-Atlantic Doctoral Conference, London Business School, May 2017